

Journal of Hazardous Materials B137 (2006) 886-892

Journal of Hazardous Materials

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Use of EAF dust as heterogeneous catalyst in Fenton oxidation of PCP contaminated wastewaters

R. Mecozzi, L. Di Palma*, D. Pilone, L. Cerboni

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, dei Materiali, delle Materie Prime e Metallurgia, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", via Eudossiana 18, 00184 Rome, Italy

Received 18 January 2006; received in revised form 1 March 2006; accepted 4 March 2006 Available online 18 April 2006

Abstract

In this study, chemical oxidation tests using H_2O_2 were performed on a solution contaminated with $100 \text{ mg } 1^{-1}$ of pentachlorophenol (PCP). The effectiveness of electric arc furnace dust and hematite as heterogeneous catalysts was evaluated. Reactions were conducted at pH 2 for 24 h. Either H_2O_2 stabilized with KH_2PO_4 or un-stabilized H_2O_2 was used. Total organic carbon (T.O.C.) removal and chloride release from PCP molecule were monitored. Results showed that the maximum removal yields for electric arc furnace (EAF) dust (49.2% T.O.C., 56.7% Cl) were achieved when H_2O_2 :PCP ratio was 10:1 and Fe: $H_2O_2 = 1:5$ for unstabilized H_2O_2 and when H_2O_2 :PCP = 10:1 and Fe: $H_2O_2 = 1:1$ for stabilized H_2O_2 (48% T.O.C., 60.6% Cl). The maximum yield using hematite (45.2% T.O.C., 55.2% Cl) was obtained when H_2O_2 :PCP ratio was 10:1 and Fe: $H_2O_2 > 1:5$, Cl release was higher than the one expected from T.O.C. removal. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fenton's reagent; Solid catalyst; PCP; EAF dust; Chloride release

1. Introduction

The increasing amounts of toxic and recalcitrant compounds in several industrial wastewaters focus the attention on chemical oxidation as initial step to achieve their complete treatment. Chemical oxidation offers the advantage of being insensitive to the toxicity of the pollutants and the possibility of fast treatments. In effect it can either achieve a complete mineralization of the organic contaminants to CO₂ and H₂O or transform them into less harmful or more biodegradable intermediates. Chemical oxidation becomes then a pre-treatment step that can be followed, if necessary, by a successive biological step [1,2]. One of the most commonly used oxidizing agents is hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , which acts as a source of free radicals when a metal catalyst is added. The effectiveness of H₂O₂ when in contact with a transition metal catalyst added as a soluble salt or as a solid, in Fenton or Fenton-like reactions, has been proven in the treatment of hazardous compounds in the aqueous phase [3-8]. In particular, when ferrous sulphate is added to a H_2O_2 solu-

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 06 44585571.

E-mail address: luca.di.palma@ingchim.ing.uniroma1.it (L. Di Palma).

tion in Fenton systems, reactions that lead to the formation of hydroxyl radical (OH^{\bullet}) and numerous other competing reactions occur. Among these reactions, the production of hydroperoxyl radicals, the cycling of iron(III) to iron(II) and the quenching of OH^{\bullet} by iron(II) and H₂O₂, occur, as summarised below [9].

$$H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + OH^{\bullet}$$
(1)

$$Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + H^+ + HO_2^{\bullet}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

$$Fe^{3+} + HO_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + O_2 + H^+$$
 (3)

$$OH^{\bullet} + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^{-}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$OH^{\bullet} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet} + H_2O$$
(5)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} + Fe^{2+} + H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2$$
 (6)

$$2H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O + O_2 \tag{7}$$

In addition the reaction of OH^{\bullet} with organic substrates can lead to the formation of organic radicals, which might also be oxidised by Fe³⁺, thus regenerating Fe²⁺ [5].

Homogeneous systems generally offer effective contaminant removal at high rates [10,11]. The metal catalyst added as soluble salt however, dissolves in water and cannot be retained in

^{0304-3894/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.03.002

the process. This adds other pollutants to the water, which can be treated in a subsequent precipitation stage. Heterogeneous catalysis offers significant advantages in separation since in this case, the catalyst can be easily recovered by sedimentation or filtration and further used.

Different types of solids can be used as heterogeneous catalysts. Among them iron powder and different iron oxides have been tested [4,6,7,12]. Solid catalysts can also be constituted by iron dispersed on different supports such as alumina [13], zeolite [14,15], cation exchange resins [16] and clay [17].

The catalytic activity can be related to the activation of hydrogen peroxide by iron ions leached from the solid material and acting as homogeneous catalysts [1,4]. The main advantage in comparison with homogeneous catalysis is the slow release of metal ions that limits undesired reactions (3), (4) and (6). Other authors [15,18] associated the catalytic activity to the combined and pH dependent effects of metal leaching and direct heterogeneous catalysis. In the latter case, the initiation step involves H_2O_2 adsorbed on the iron oxide surface [19].

Electric arc furnace (EAF) dusts are an industrial by-product, which could be an interesting heterogeneous catalyst. These dusts are recovered in gas cleaning units during the production of steel in electric arc furnaces, which are fed with scrap metal. EAF dust composition can vary greatly depending on the scrap metal composition, furnace additives, operating conditions, degree of recycling of dusts in the steelmaking process and on the type of steel produced. The high temperature of the EAF process results in the volatilization of iron and zinc, which is predominantly used as a corrosion inhibiting coating on steel products.

Over the last decade, the amount of heavy metals in EAF dusts has increased due to large increase in the quantity of automotive scrap. As much as 10–20 kg of EAF dust are generated per ton of steel produced. The increasing dust dumping costs and liabilities constitute a driving force for the adoption of new treatments and disposal methods. Hydrometallurgical, pyrometallurgical or hybrid processes can be used for the recovery of valuable metals [20,21], however, stabilization followed by landfilling is currently the common practice [22,23].

To test the effectiveness of this particular catalyst, pentachlorophenol (PCP) was chosen as a model compound. PCP is a preservative agent for woods and leathers and is also a pesticide. It is listed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as a priority pollutant because it is toxic, hardly biodegradable and highly persistent in the environment [1,24]. The treatment of PCP in contaminated soils and sands is discussed in literature [12,16,25,26]. However, the available literature concerning water treatments of chlorophenols either focuses on chlorophenols other than PCP or different oxidation processes [4,10,27–32]. The main purpose of this study is to assess EAF dust efficiency as a heterogeneous catalyst in the Fenton remediation of PCP contaminated water. In fact, using as a catalyst what is commonly considered as a waste, would be of economic interest considering the high costs required for its disposal. In addition the efficiency of EAF dust is compared to the one of hematite a common iron oxide.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Solid characterisation

Pellettized EAF dust was supplied by an Italian steelmaking company producing carbon steel and pure hematite was provided by the faculty of Geology of the University of Rome "La Sapienza".

Both EAF dust and hematite were characterized by means of SEM/EDS analysis using a S-2500 Hitachi microscope equipped with a Thermo Noran System Six apparatus. The sample's powder particles were separated using ultrasounds and metallised to allow SEM observation.

The two solids were initially crushed and sieved. The collected fraction was 0.5 < d < 1 mm.

The pH of the two solids was determined using a Crison GLP21 pH-meter after 2 h stirring at 175 rpm and 15 min sedimentation of a mixture of 100 g of solid in 250 ml of distilled water [33].

The chemical oxygen demand (C.O.D.) was determined by back-titration with ferrous ammonium sulphate of residual potassium dichromate [33].

Leaching experiments were conducted with bi-distilled water or with a HNO₃ 1 M solution. Two grams of solid were placed in 30 ml centrifuge glass tubes with Teflon caps. Twenty milliliter of solution were added and the tubes were shaken, then centrifuged in an ALC 4929 centrifuge for 10 min at 4000 rpm. The supernatant was analysed by atomic adsorption for Pb, Mn, Fe and Zn while Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻ were determined by ionic chromatography on a Dionex DX 120 ion chromatograph equipped with a IONPAC AS12A column (200 mm, 4 mm i.d.) and guard column (50 mm, 4 mm i.d.) (only when bi-distilled water was used). The supernatant was then replaced with unused solution. The chosen solid-solution contact times were: 4, 24, 48 and 72 h.

All reagents were ACS grade and supplied by Carlo Erba Reagents, Milano, Italy. The SEM micrograph reported in Fig. 1 shows that EAF dust particles have spherical shape and different sizes. Pure hematite, after crushing, was characterized by platelets having different sizes and a thickness ranging from 12 to 20 μ m (Fig. 2). EDS analyses were carried out on several samples of both solids to determine their mean composition, which

Table 1												
Selected	pro	perties	and	mean	com	position	of EA	۱F d	ust a	and	hema	atite

	pH	COD (gO ₂ /kg)	Cl (%)	Zn (%)	Fe (%)	K (%)	Pb (%)	Ca (%)	Mn (%)
EAF dust	8.57	25.4	41	21	19.4	11.6	3	2.3	1.7
Hematite	7.73	19.61	0	0	66	0	0	0	0



Fig. 1. SEM micrograph of EAF dust.

is reported in Table 1 together with COD and pH values. The concentrations of Zn, Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} in the leaching solutions are reported in Table 2 while Pb, Fe and Mn were not as they were only detected in traces.

2.2. Catalyst preparation

EAF dust was washed four times with bi-distilled water, using the same liquid solid ratio selected for the leaching experiments. This was done to reduce its chloride release, which could interfere with the determination of PCP dechlorination. Hematite was used without any preparation.



Fig. 2. SEM micrograph of hematite.

Table 2		
Leaching of	experiments	results

Leaching experiments	4 h	24 h	48 h	72 h
EAF dust distilled water				
Zn (mg/l)	0.5	0.12	0.08	0.05
Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	3495.2	716.4	474	151.2
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/l)	1371.4	501.7	364.13	118.6
EAF dust HNO ₃ 1 M				
Zn (mg/l)	3.52	0.95	0.33	0.12

2.3. Reaction conditions, sample analysis

Pentachlorophenol (99% pure) was obtained from Aldrich. The contaminated solution was prepared by dissolving 100 g of PCP in 1 l of distilled water and alkalinizing the solution to pH 11 with NaOH to help PCP dissolution. Reactions were conducted using 50 ml samples in 100 ml beakers covered with parafilm. The catalyst was added to the solution in the selected quantities and the pH was adjusted with sulphuric acid (Carlo Erba Reagents) to pH 2. Unstabilized H₂O₂ (30% supplied by Merck) or stabilized H₂O₂ with KH₂PO₄ (16 g/l), was then added. The pH was monitored and kept in a limited range (pH 2–2.5) with H₂SO₄. After, NaOH was added to stop the reaction and allow all the PCP to solubilize again. The solution was then vacuum filtered using 0.45 μ m Whatman filters and analysed.

Total organic carbon (T.O.C.) was monitored with a Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyzer, chloride release was determined by ionic chromatography as previously described.

The H_2O_2/PCP and Fe/H_2O_2 ratios were expressed as weight ratios. The catalyst was weighed in order to obtain the desired Fe/H_2O_2 ratio, considering its iron content. To estimate correctly the efficiency of T.O.C. removal and chloride release from PCP molecule, parallel experiments were conducted adding distilled water instead of H_2O_2 .

3. Results and discussion

3.1. pH monitoring experiments

In Fig. 3 the results of pH monitoring experiments are shown. The tests were conducted with different EAF dust quantities. The initial pH value was pH 2 and there was not any further pH adjustment. As a result of the basicity of EAF dust, an increase



Fig. 3. Results of pH monitoring experiments with EAF dust. All solutions were initially at pH 2 and there was not any further pH adjustment.



Fig. 4. Results of T.O.C. removal and chloride release at different pH for H_2O_2 :PCP = 10:1 and Fe: H_2O_2 = 1:5.

of the pH of the solution was observed, depending on the catalyst amount. A stable value was reached only after 3 h, thus emphasizing the necessity of a continuous pH adjustment to maintain the pH in a limited range (pH from 2 to 2.5).

The presence of hematite or oxidant did not alter the solution pH (data not shown) after the initial adjustment.

3.2. Effect of the reaction's pH on contaminant removal and chloride release

Fig. 4 illustrates the effect of pH on T.O.C. removal and chloride release due to PCP degradation after 24 h for different reactions conducted with a H_2O_2 :PCP ratio equal to 10:1 and Fe: $H_2O_2 = 1:5$. The optimal reaction pH was found to be pH 4, however due to difficulties in maintaining this pH in a limited range, the reactions were carried out at pH 2, given that this strong acid condition provided a high removal efficiency coupled with an easier control of the solution pH.

3.3. Effect of reaction time on contaminant removal

In Fig. 5 the T.O.C. removal versus time is detailed for a reaction conducted with a H₂O₂:PCP ratio of 10:1, Fe:H₂O₂ = 1:5 and pH from 2 to 2.5. A high removal yield was observed in the first 6 h, while after 24 h the reaction rate was negligible. From this data, a parallel monitoring of H₂O₂ concentration in the solution showed a 75% reduction of its concentration after 6 h and 93% after 1 day (data not shown). The chosen reaction time for the following sets of reactions was 24 h, as no significant removal was expected after this lapse of time.



Fig. 5. T.O.C. removal vs. time for H_2O_2 :PCP = 10:1 and Fe: H_2O_2 = 1:5.



Fig. 6. T.O.C. removal after 24 h for different H2O2:PCP and Fe:H2O2 ratios.

3.4. Influence of H_2O_2 : PCP and $Fe:H_2O_2$ ratios on contaminant removal

When H_2O_2 was not stabilized, the maximum removal of T.O.C. with EAF dust was achieved when $Fe:H_2O_2 = 1:5$, independently from $H_2O_2:PCP$ ratio (Fig. 6). The $H_2O_2:PCP$ ratio that produced the highest removal yield was $H_2O_2:PCP = 10:1$. When the $Fe:H_2O_2$ ratio was increased, an initial increase in the removal efficiency was followed by a successive decrease (Fe: $H_2O_2 > 1:5$). In fact, this behaviour could be clarified on the basis of undesired reactions (2), (4) and (6); both the quenching of reactive species and H_2O_2 decomposition could occur easily when the dissolved metals' concentration was higher. In addition, a similar behaviour was seen as $H_2O_2:PCP$ ratio increased. In this case reactions (2) and (5) could be involved leading to the formation of less reactive radicals.

In the same conditions that led to the highest removal yield $(H_2O_2:PCP = 10:1)$, a new set of reactions was conducted using hydrogen peroxide stabilized with KH₂PO₄. The KH₂PO₄ stabilizer lowers dissolved metal concentrations through reactions of precipitation or complexation [34]. As a result, their activity as Fenton catalyst decreases as they become non reactive with H₂O₂. The presence of stabilizer enhanced the reaction yield when higher quantities of EAF dust were used, hindering the unfavourable reactions (2), (4) and (6) and explaining why in this case T.O.C. removal increases with larger amounts of solid catalyst. In effect phosphate appeared to inhibit the decomposition of H₂O₂ when catalysed by mineral surfaces, possibly by affecting the surface charge or redox potential at the mineral surface [34].

The last two sets of reactions used hematite as a solid catalyst instead of EAF dust and non stabilized hydrogen peroxide with the ratios H_2O_2 :PCP = 5:1 and 10:1. A lower removal yield than with EAF dust was observed (in the same conditions) and the maximum T.O.C. removal occurred when Fe:H₂O₂ = 1:2 and H₂O₂:PCP = 10:1. This maximum yield variation could be explained by the presence of Mn in the EAF dust. When larger quantities of EAF dust were used, a larger quantity of Mn was present into the solution. This may have enhanced the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide, which can occur faster when



Fig. 7. Cl⁻ release after 24 h reaction with EAF dust and different H₂O₂:PCP and Fe:H₂O₂ ratios.

inorganic compounds such as Mn are present, and may also have enhanced the scavenging of OH[•] radicals [35–37] thus lowering the oxidant's concentration. Using a stabilizer substance such as KH₂PO₄ when EAF dust was employed, could limit these undesired effects explaining why in this case we observed a similar behaviour.

3.5. Influence of H_2O_2 : PCP and $Fe:H_2O_2$ ratios on chloride release

Preliminary tests were performed to evaluate the influence of H_2O_2 on chloride release from the EAF dust. The results (data not shown) indicated that the oxidant presence did not affect chloride release.

In Figs. 7 and 8 the results of chloride release are reported. For every set of reactions two values are given: the actual chloride release (ACR) in the solution and a value calculated from the T.O.C. removal data, in the following way.

$$MCR(\%) = \frac{((T.O.C._{blank} - T.O.C._{actual}) \times 5 \times 35.45)/6 \times 12.01}{66.56} \times 100$$



Fig. 8. Cl⁻ release after 24 h reaction with hematite and different H₂O₂:PCP and Fe:H₂O₂ ratios.

In this equation, 66.56 ppm Cl is the chloride concentration that can be released from 100 ppm of PCP, T.O.C.blank is the T.O.C. of a parallel experiment where no H₂O₂ was added and T.O.C._{actual} is the T.O.C. of the treated solution. MCR is the minimum chloride release that must be obtained considering that the removal of six atoms of organic C must be accompanied by a removal of five atoms of Cl. When the chloride release of the treated solution is approaching MCR value, it can be assumed that certain reaction selectivity did occur. In this case, it is probable that the molecules that underwent through dechlorination were the same where the oxidation continued, from ring opening to the final conversion to CO_2 (this conversion is responsible for the loss of T.O.C.). Some studies [38,39] suggested in fact that chlorinated aliphatic intermediates could be formed after the rupture of the aromatic ring and that these compounds would be further oxidised. When the two values ACR and MCR were slightly different, the molecules reached a higher degree of dechlorination indicating the possibility of a non-selective radical attack and the presence of numerous other species. In fact Hirvonen et al. found as intermediates in the oxidation of PCP using UV/H₂O₂ and O₃, chlorobenzenediols and other products [39]. The difference between the two values could therefore suggest a different reaction mechanism. Fig. 7 presents the results for reactions conducted with EAF dust. According to the T.O.C. data (Fig. 6), with unstabilized H_2O_2 , the highest dechlorination was obtained when $Fe:H_2O_2 = 1:5$. A significant difference between the ARC and MRC values was observed when $Fe:H_2O_2 \ge 1:2$, while when $Fe:H_2O_2 < 1:2$, these two values were almost comparable. This was observed independently from the H₂O₂:PCP ratio and it suggests that the reaction went through a different mechanism and was more selective when the Fe:H₂O₂ ratio was \geq 1:2. When KH₂PO₄ was present, by increasing EAF quantities an increase in chloride removal was observed. In this case the ACR and MCR values were almost similar independently from the Fe:H₂O₂ ratio. This behaviour could be reasonably attributed to the effect of the stabilizing agent, which limits the undesired effects of Mn. When hematite was used as a solid catalyst, the maximum chloride release was observed when $Fe:H_2O_2 = 1:2$ and the ACR and MCR values matched. This could be attributed to the absence of Mn, which enhances the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide.

To explain the different behaviours described in the above text, some additional factors must be considered. Firstly, the concentration of chloride and sulphate ions, which was higher using greater quantities of EAF dust. In fact because of EAF dust composition and basicity, a higher level of Cl was observed than with hematite and a greater quantity of sulphuric acid was employed to acidify the solution. In a study [40] the effects of chloride and sulphate ions were investigated. These two ions could interfere through scavenging reactions and formation of the less reactive radicals Cl^{\bullet} , Cl_2^{\bullet} and $SO_4^{-\bullet}$, or of Fe³⁺ complexes, especially in the Fe^{3+}/H_2O_2 system [40]. In addition, when EAF dust was used, the overall ionic strength of the solution was greater due to the dissolution of Cl^- and to the added SO_4^{2-} . Kuznetsova et al. [18], showed that when the ionic strength of the solution increased, the rate constant of reaction (2) decreased. This influence became negligible when KH₂PO₄ was present [18], explaining why in our experiments we had only a slight difference between the ACR and MCR values in presence of stabilized H_2O_2 . Furthermore at the low pH used in our experiments, PCP shows a low solubility and takes part also as a particulate to the first phases of the reaction. Problems related to the difficulties in the radical attack on PCP particulates have been discussed elsewhere [41]. Since PCP degradation products are more soluble [38], these products were oxidised as soon as they were formed and consequently the two values ARC and MRC were close.

As the reaction proceeded, H_2O_2 and PCP were consumed so PCP became completely soluble and Fe: H_2O_2 ratio increased. This effect was more evident with increasing quantities of EAF dust. In these conditions the effects of H_2O_2 decomposition catalysed by Mn, could be stronger and the radical attack could loose selectivity giving a further explanation of the differences between ACR and MCR values.

4. Conclusions

In this study EAF dust and hematite were used as Fenton catalyst.

The results showed that:

- The best removal efficiencies were achieved when H₂O₂:PCP ratio was 10:1.
- EAF dust can be used as catalyst and the maximum removals achieved were 49.17% T.O.C. and 56.68% Cl release when $Fe:H_2O_2$ ratio was 1:5 and 48% T.O.C. and 60,6% Cl when $Fe:H_2O_2$ ratio was 1:1 and H_2O_2 was stabilized.
- Using hematite a maximum T.O.C. removal of 45.23% and Cl release of 55.15% was achieved when Fe:H₂O₂ ratio was 1:2.
- When Fe:H₂O₂ > 1:5, for unstabilized H₂O₂, EAF dust showed a different reaction mechanism with a Cl release higher than expected from T.O.C. removal data.
- EAF dust was responsible for Cl and Zn release in the solution and this must be taken into account in developing the process.

References

- M. Pera-Titus, V. Garcia-Molina, M.A. Banos, J. Giménez, S. Esplugas, Degradation of chlorophenols by means of advanced oxidation processes: a general review, Appl. Catal. B 47 (2004) 219–256.
- [2] E. Chamarro, A. Marco, S. Esplugas, Use of Fenton reagent to improve organic chemical biodegradability, Water Res. 35 (2001) 1047–1051.
- [3] S.M. Arnold, W.J. Hickey, R.F. Harris, Degradation of Atrazine by Fenton's reagent: condition optimisation and product quantification, Environ. Sci. Technol. 29 (1995) 2083–2089.
- [4] F. Lucking, H. Koser, M. Jank, A. Ritter, Iron powder, graphite and activated carbon as catalysts for the oxidation of 4-chlorophenol with hydrogen peroxide, Water Res. 32 (1998) 2607–2614.
- [5] H. Gallard, J.D. Laat, Kinetics of oxidation of chlorobenzenes and phenylureas by Fe(II)/H₂O₂ and Fe(III)/H₂O₂. Evidence of reduction and oxidation reactions of intermediates by Fe(II) or Fe(III), Chemosphere 42 (2001) 405–413.
- [6] R. Andreozzi, A. D'Apuzzo, R. Marotta, Oxidation of aromatic substrates in water/goethite slurry by means of hydrogen peroxide, Water Res. 36 (2002) 4691–4698.

- [7] M.-C. Lu, J.-N. Chen, H.-H. Huang, Role of goethite dissolution in the oxidation of 2-chlorophenol with hydrogen peroxide, Chemosphere 46 (2002) 131–136.
- [8] A.L. Teel, C.R. Warberg, D.A. Atkinson, R.J. Watts, Comparaison of mineral and soluble iron Fenton's catalysts for the treatment of trichloroethylene, Water Res. 35 (2001) 977–984.
- [9] N.R. Mohanty, I.W. Wei, Oxidation of 2,4-dinitrotoluene using Fenton's reagent: reaction mechanisms and their practical applications, Hazard. Waste Hazard. Mater. 10 (1993) 171–183.
- [10] B.G. Kwon, D.S. Lee, N. Kang, J. Yoon, Characteristics of *p*-chlorophenol oxidation by Fenton's reagent, Water Res. 33 (1999) 2110–2118.
- [11] G.L. Truong, J. De Laat, B. Legube, Effects of chloride and sulfate on the rate of oxidation of ferrous ion by H_2O_2 , Water Res. 38 (2004) 2383–2393.
- [12] R.J. Watts, M.D. Udell, S. Kong, S.W. Leung, Fenton-like soil remediation catalysed by naturally occurring iron minerals, Environ. Eng. Sci. 16 (1999) 93–103.
- [13] L. Al-Hayek, M. Doré, Oxidation des phénols par le peroxide d'hydrogène en milieu aqueux en présence de fer supporté sur alumine, Water Res. 24 (1990) 973–982.
- $[14] G. Centi, S. Perathoner, T. Torre, M.G. Verduna, Catalytic wet oxidation with H_2O_2 of carboxilic acids on homogeneous and heterogeneuos Fenton-type catalyst, Catal. Today 55 (2000) 61–69.$
- [15] K. Fajerwerg, H. Debellefontaine, Wet oxidation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide using heterogeneous catalysis Fe-ZSM-5: a promising catalyst, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 10 (1996) L229–L235.
- [16] R.-M. Liou, S.-H. Chen, M.-Y. Hung, C.-S. Hsu, Catalytic oxidation of pentachlorophenol in contaminated soil suspensions by Fe³⁺-resin/H₂O₂, Chemosphere 55 (2004) 1271–1280.
- [17] J. Barrault, M. Abdellaoui, C. Bouchoule, A. Majesté, J.M. Tatibouet, A. Louloudi, N. Papayannakos, N.H. Gangas, Catalytic wet peroxide oxidation over mixed (AL-Fe) pillared clays, Appl. Catal. B 27 (2000) 225–230.
- [18] E.V. Kuznetsova, E.N. Savinov, L.A. Vostrikova, V.N. Parmon, Heterogeneous catalysis in the Fenton-type system FeZSM-5/H₂O₂, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 51 (2004) 165–170.
- [19] W.P. Kwan, B.M. Voelker, Rates of hydroxyl radical generation and organic compound oxidation in mineral-catalysed Fenton like systems, Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (2003) 1150–1158.
- [20] M.C. Mantovani, C. Takano, P.M. Buechler, EAF and secondary dust characterisation, Ironmaking Steelmaking 31 (2004) 325– 332.
- [21] T. Havlik, M. Turzakova, S. Stopic, B. Friedrich, Atmospheric leaching of EAF dust with diluted sulphuric acid, Hydrometallurgy 77 (2005) 41– 50.
- [22] A. Fernandez, J.M. Chimenos, N. Raventos, L. Miralles, F. Espiell, Stabilisation of electrical arc furnace dusts with low grade MgO prior to landfill, J. Environ. Eng. 129 (2003) 275–279.
- [23] C.F. Pereira, M. Rodriguez-Pinero, J. Vale, Solidification/stabilisation of electric arc furnace dust using coal fly ash, J. Hazard. Mater. 82 (2001) 183–195.
- [24] S.S. Gupta, M. Stadler, C.A. Noser, A. Ghosh, B. Steinhoff, D. Lenoir, C.P. Horwitz, K.-W. Schramm, T.J. Collins, Rapid total destruction of chlorophenols by activated hydrogen peroxide, Science 296 (2002) 326–328.
- [25] R.J. Watts, M.D. Udell, P.A. Rauch, Treatment of pentachlorophenol contaminated soils using Fenton's reagent, Hazard. Waste Hazard. Mater. 7 (1990) 335–345.
- [26] J.X. Ravikumar, M.D. Gurol, Chemical oxidation of chlorinated organics by hydrogen peroxide in the presence of sand, Environ. Sci. Technol. 28 (1994) 394–400.
- [27] F.J. Benitez, J. Beltran-Heredia, J.L. Acero, F.J. Rubio, Contribution of free radicals to chlorophenols decomposition by several advanced oxidation processes, Chemosphere 41 (2000) 1271–1277.
- [28] W.Z. Tang, C.P. Huang, The effect of chlorine position of chlorinated phenols on their dechlorination kinetics by Fenton's reagent, Waste Manage. 15 (1995) 615–622.
- [29] F. Al-Momani, C. Sans, S. Esplugas, A comparative study of the advanced oxidation of 2,4-dichlorophenol, J. Hazard. Mater. B107 (2004) 123–129.

- [30] R. Wang, C.-L. Chen, J.S. Gratzl, Dechlorination of chlorophenols found in pulp bleach palnt E-1 effluents by advanced oxidation processes, Bioresour. Technol. 96 (2005) 897–906.
- [31] C. Cooper, R. Burch, An investigation of catalytic ozonation for the oxidation of halocarbons in drinking water preparation, Water Res. 33 (1999) 3695–3700.
- [32] Y. Song-hu, L. Xiao-hua, Comparaison treatment of various chlorophenols by electro-Fenton method: relationship between chlorine content and degradation, J. Hazard. Mater. B118 (2005) 85–92.
- [33] Italian Environmental Regulation, G.U. n.185, 21 October, 1999.
- [34] R.J. Watts, M.K. Foget, S.-H. Kong, A.L. Teel, Hydrogen peroxide decomposition in model subsurface systems, J. Hazard. Mater. B69 (1999) 229–243.
- [35] R. Baciocchi, M.R. Boni, L. D'Aprile, Hydrogen peroxide lifetime as an indicator of the efficiency of 3-chlorophenol Fenton's and Fenton-like oxidation in soils, J. Hazard. Mater. B96 (2003) 305–329.
- [36] Y. Yin, H.E. Allen, In situ chemical treatment, in: GWTARC SERIES, 1999.

- [37] I.T.R.C., Technical and Regulatory Guidance for In Situ Chemical Oxidation of Contaminated Soil and Groundwater, in: Interstate Tecnology and Regulatory Cooperation Work Group, USA, 2001.
- [38] W.Z. Tang, C.P. Huang, Effect of chlorine content of chlorinated phenols on their oxidation kinetics by Fenton's reagent, Chemosphere 33 (1996) 1621–1635.
- [39] A. Hirvonen, M. Trapido, J. Hentunen, J. Tarhanen, Formation of hydroxilated and dimeric intermediates during oxidation of chlorinated phenols in aqueous solution, Chemosphere 41 (2000) 1211– 1218.
- [40] J. De Laat, L.G. Truong, B. Legube, A comparative study of the effects of chloride, sulphate and nitrate ions on the rates of decomposition of H_2O_2 and organic compounds by Fe(II)/ H_2O_2 and Fe(III)/ H_2O_2 , Chemosphere 55 (2004) 715–723.
- [41] R.J. Watts, M.D. Udell, R.M. Monsen, Use of iron minerals in optimizing the peroxide treatment of contaminated soils, Water Environ. Res. 65 (1993) 839–844.